

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q92287

Hanne MULLER, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/563,110

Group Art Unit: 1617

Confirmation No.: 1130

Examiner: Timothy E. Betton

Filed: June 19, 2006

For: Fats and oils

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on

September 22, 2010:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: N/A.
2. Identification of claims discussed: N/A.
3. Identification of art discussed: N/A.
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: N/A.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: N/A.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: Examiner and Applicants'

representative requested (1) status of application and (2) to place a note in application regarding

Applicants' July 22 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

7. Results of Interview: The background is as follows: in response to a Final Office Action mailed on January 22, 2010, Applicants filed an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116, Petition for Extension of Time for Three Months, and a Notice of Appeal on July 22, 2010.

To inquire as to the status of the application, Applicants' representatives placed a voicemail message with Examiner Betton on September 8, September 10, and September 22. Examiner Betton did not return any of the above voicemail messages.

Applicants' representative also placed a voicemail message with Examiner Betton's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan on September 20, 2010.

On September 22, 2010, Examiner Padmanabhan returned the telephone call, wherein Applicant's representative and Examiner Padmanabhan discussed the status of the application. Applicant's representative further requested that a note be placed in Applicants' July 22, 2010 Amendment, correcting the following error: at page 6 of Applicants' reply, the word "saturated" should be "unsaturated" at lines 10, 13, and 19. Examiner Padmanabhan made note of this request and indicated that Examiner Betton would act on the application by Friday, October 1, 2010.

Applicants' representative did not hear back from Examiner Betton or Examiner Padmanabhan, and placed telephone calls with Examiner Padmanabhan on October 7, 2010 and with Examiner Betton on October 12, 2010.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
U.S. Application No.: 10/563,110

Attorney Docket No.: Q92287

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Ostrowski
Registration No. 66,828

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 15, 2010